

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

70

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,680	09/29/2003	Michael Gabriel	12510/70	5087
<sup>26646</sup> KENYON & K	7590 01/29/2007 ENYON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY			MYINT, DENNIS Y	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004	.,	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/674,680	GABRIEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Myint	2162	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) X will not be entered, or b) \( \Boxed{\square} \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: . SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Part of Paper No. 20070120

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Amendments made to claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 require further consideration and/or search.

Particularly addition of the limitations "a plurality of media distribution source types" (Claim 1 Line 5) and "scheduling" (Claim 1 Line 7) to Claim 1, addition of the limitation "distribution" (Claim 3 Line 4) to Claim 3, addition of the limitation "two" in place of "one" (Claim 4 Line 2) to Claim 4, addition of the limitations "plurality of" (Claim 8 Line 1), "distribution source" (Claim 8 Line 2), "source type" (Claim 8 Line 3), and "source type" (Claim 8 Line 4) to Claim 8, addition of the limitation "distribution" (Claim 11 Line 3 and Line 4) to Claim 11, addition of the limitation "distribution" (Claim 12 Line 2) to Claim 12, addition of the limitation "distribution" (Claim 14 Lines 3 and 4) to Claim 14, addition of the limitations "distribution" (Claim 16 Line 3), "the plurality of media distribution sources including different types of media distribution sources" (Claim 16 Lines 5-6), "scheduling" (Claim 16 Line 7), and "distribution" (Claim 16 Line 8) to Claim 16, addition of the limitations "distribution" (Claim 17 Lines 3 and 4) to Claim 17, addition of the limitations "distribution" (Claim 18 Line 11), and "scheduling" (Claim 18 Line 13) to Claim 19, and addition of the limitations "distribution" (Claim 19 Line 10), "a plurality of media distribution source types" (Claim 19 Line 12), and "scheduling" (Claim 19 Line 14) to Claim 19 require further consideration and/or search...